

WATSONVILLE TO REAFFIRM COMMITMENT TO MAINTAIN TRUST AND SAFETY FOR LOCAL IMMIGRANTS

12.c. CONSIDERATION OF OPTIONS FOR DRIVE-THROUGH FACILITY RESTRICTIONS

1) Oral Report by Interim Assistant Community Development Director Orbach

2) City Council Clarifying & Technical Questions

In answering Member Montesino, City Manager Vides stated that impacts of the potential closure of the Airport's crosswind runway on drive-through facility restrictions were not yet known.

Interim Assistant Community Development Director Orbach, in answering Member Dutra, spoke about setback limits in surrounding cities.

In answering Member Parker, Interim Assistant Community Development Director Orbach provided details regarding the various options for modifying the drive-through facility restrictions that were currently in place. He spoke about existing locations of drive-through facilities.

3) Public Input

Bryan Martinez, District 7, requested the setback requirements remain in place and cited noise and pollution concerns.

Leann, District 7, requested Council keep the drive-through ordinance as is and spoke about why it was originally adopted. She expressed concerns with a proposed car wash project and reasons she was not in support of the drive-through ordinance being modified.

Steve McGee, District 7, stated he was not opposed to drive-throughs but was against noisy businesses being allowed in residential areas. He urged Council to keep the drive-through ordinance intact and listed the reasons for his request.

Joan Garrett, District 7, spoke against a proposed car wash project and listed concerns with noise, traffic, and pedestrian safety.

William Hansen stressed the importance of tax revenue generated by businesses. He spoke about challenges with property lines and setback requirements in the current ordinance. He provided reasons why his proposed car wash project would not negatively impact the surrounding neighborhood and asked that Council consider modifying setback requirements for drive-throughs.

In answering Member Montesino, Interim Assistant Community Development Director Orbach provided a summary of the options for making changes to the drive-through ordinance.

4) 1st MOTION: It was moved by Member Quiroz-Carter, seconded by Mayor Orozco, to exempt the Thoroughfare Commercial (CT) zoning district from the 150-foot setback requirement.

5) City Council Deliberation on Motion

Interim Assistant Community Development Director Orbach, in answering Member Parker, spoke about the special use permitting process, including appeal procedures and the timeline for completing the process. He stated only the Thoroughfare Commercial zoning district would be exempt from the 150-foot setback per the staff recommendation and spoke about exploring additional options for exemptions.

2nd MOTION; It was moved by Member Montesino, seconded by Member Clark, to exempt the following commercial zoning districts from the 150-foot setback requirement: CT (Thoroughfare Commercial), CNS (Neighborhood Shopping Center), and CV (Visitor Commercial).

City Manager Vides stated that property owners could apply for rezoning of their properties. She answered Member Dutra's question regarding what zoning districts would be exempt from the setback requirement based on Council's direction.

City Attorney Zutler stated that staff would draft an ordinance based on Council's recommendation to be taken to the Planning Commission before being taken back to Council for consideration.

Member Dutra expressed concerns with restrictions of the urban limit line on growth opportunities.

Member Clark stated concerns with placing too many restrictions on businesses that could potentially generate tax revenue for the City.

Member Parker requested additional information regarding options for setback requirements be provided to the Planning Commission and Council when the item is taken for consideration.

City Manager Vides spoke about the extensive staff time that would be required to provide the additional information requested by Member Parker.

In answering Member Parker, City Manager Vides and Interim Community Development Director Orbach spoke about mitigation measures and conditions of approval for proposed projects.

2nd MOTION: The above motion was carried by the following vote.

AYES: MEMBERS: Clark, Dutra, Montesino, Parker, Orozco
NOES: MEMBERS: Quiroz-Carter
ABSENT: MEMBERS: Salcido

1st MOTION: The first motion failed by the following vote:

AYES: MEMBERS: Quiroz-Carter, Orozco
NOES: MEMBERS: Clark, Dutra, Montesino, Parker
ABSENT: MEMBERS: Salcido

City Clerk Ortiz clarified for Council that staff would be drafting an ordinance to exempt the following commercial zoning districts from the 150-foot setback requirement: CT (Thoroughfare Commercial), CNS (Neighborhood Shopping Center), and CV (Visitor

Commercial). He explained to the public that there was confusion with the vote, but staff understood staff direction.

12.d. CONSIDERATION OF COMMISSION VACANCY APPLICATION PROCESS

1) Staff Report by City Clerk Ortiz

2) City Council Clarifying & Technical Questions

In answering Member Clark, City Clerk Ortiz stated Council could choose to remove a commissioner in the event they provide false information regarding their place of residence. City Clerk Ortiz provided additional options for obtaining proof of residence.

City Attorney Zutler, in answering Member Parker, stated it would be the decision of the district attorney whether to prosecute someone who falsely signed an affidavit regarding their place of residence.

In answering Member Parker, City Clerk Ortiz stated that Council could choose any combination of address verification methods for commission applicants. He spoke about potential delays in appointing commissioners if there were extensive requirements put in place.

City Manager Vides provided further clarification regarding the possible documentation that could be required to verify the addresses of applicants.

Mayor Orozco stated Measure V was intended to increase civic engagement and stated she was in favor of requiring a signed affidavit of residency on commission applications without having to provide proof of residency.

3) Public Input – None

4) MOTION: It was moved by Mayor Orozco, seconded by Member Dutra to only require a signed affidavit of residency on commission applications for verification of address for commission applicants.

5) City Council Deliberation on Motion

In answering Member Parker, City Clerk Ortiz stated the reasons for listing various examples of documents that could be used to verify an applicant's address in the proposed resolution.

MOTION: The above motion was carried by the following vote.

AYES: MEMBERS: Dutra, Montesino, Quiroz-Carter, Orozco
NOES: MEMBERS: Clark, Parker
ABSENT: MEMBERS: Salcido

6) RESOLUTION NO. 22-25 (CM)

**A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF WATSONVILLE
ESTABLISHING PROCEDURE FOR VERIFICATION OF ADDRESS FOR COMMISSION
APPLICANTS**

13. EMERGENCY ITEMS ADDED TO AGENDA – None